IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS GALVESTON DIVISION

STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,)	
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 3:15-cv-0162
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL)	
PROTECTION AGENCY, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

STATES' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65, the State of Texas, Texas Department of Agriculture, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Texas Department of Transportation, Texas General Land Office, Railroad Commission of Texas, Texas Water Development Board, along with the States of Louisiana and Mississippi (collectively, "States"), by and through undersigned counsel and pursuant to Southern District of Texas Local Rule 7, respectfully submit this Motion for Preliminary Injunction. The States request that the Court enjoin the final rule titled "Clean Water Rule: Definition of 'Waters of the United States,'" 80 Fed. Reg. 37,054 (June 29, 2015) ("Rule"), jointly promulgated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ("Corps") (collectively, "Federal Agencies").

This Motion for Preliminary Injunction and the Memorandum in Support were initially presented to this Court as attachments (Dkt. 16-4 and 16-5) to the States' Motion for Partial Lift of Stay and Motion for Expedited Treatment (Dkt. 16). On February 2, 2016, the Court denied the States' Motion for Partial Lift of Stay and Motion for Expedited Treatment as moot (Dkt. 36). The States agree that their Motion for Partial Lift of Stay has become moot because the stay (Dkt. 15) has expired on its own terms following the Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation (JPML)'s denial of Defendants' motion to transfer and consolidate this action and all other district court challenges to the Clean Water Rule. *See In re: Clean Water Rule: Definition of "Waters of the United States,"* MDL. No. 2663, Dkt. 163 (J.P.M.L.) (Oct. 13, 2015).

The stay in this Court having expired upon the JPML ruling, the States now file their request for injunctive relief, updated to reflect the current status of the case. Proceedings on this Motion for Preliminary Injunction are appropriate even in light of the current nationwide stay of the Clean Water Rule that has been imposed by the Sixth Circuit. *In re Final Rule; "Clean Water rule: Definition of Waters of the United States,"* Sixth Circuit No. 15-3799 (lead case) Dkt. 24-2; No. 15-3853 (Tx., La., and Miss. case) Dkt 59-2 (Sept. 16, 2015). Jurisdiction in that circuit remains in dispute; the issue has been briefed and argued but the question remains pending there. Should that circuit rule that it lacks jurisdiction, the nationwide stay may dissolve before the States can seek relief here. Judicial economy in this Court will be served by the parties developing the issue of

¹ Defendants have requested a second stay of proceedings in this Court (Dkt. 25), but this Court has not yet ruled on that motion.

preliminary injunctive relief through motions, responses, and briefing. If quick action is necessary following a Sixth Circuit ruling, the issue will be ripe for decision by this Court. The States therefore respectfully submit the following.

The Rule provides sweeping changes to the jurisdictional reach of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), drastically altering the administration of water quality programs implemented by the States, EPA, and the Corps. Through the Rule, the agencies assert the authority to regulate land and water resources in the States, thereby infringing upon the States' sovereign and economic interests. The States are entitled to a preliminary injunction because: (1) the States are likely to succeed on the merits, because the Rule violates the U.S. Constitution, the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 551 *et seq.*, and Supreme Court precedent; (2) the Rule causes immediate and irreparable harm; (3) an injunction will not cause any harm to the Federal Agencies; and (4) an injunction will serve the public interest by allowing meaningful judicial review of the Rule before its jurisdictional overreach further harms the States.

In support of their motion, the States submit their Memorandum in Support of the States' Motion for Preliminary Injunction. For the reasons set forth herein and in the accompanying Memorandum, the States respectfully moves the Court to grant its Motion for Preliminary Injunction pending resolution of the States' challenges on the merits.

Respectfully Submitted,

KEN PAXTON

Attorney General of Texas

CHARLES E. ROY

First Assistant Attorney General

SCOTT A. KELLER

Solicitor General

JAMES E. DAVIS

Deputy Attorney General for Civil

Litigation

PRISCILLA M. HUBENAK

Chief, Environmental Protection Division

MATTHEW B. MILLER

Assistant Attorney General Texas Bar No. 24074722

matt.miller@texasattorneygeneral.gov

Southern District Bar No. 2638649

Linda B. Secord

LINDA B. SECORD

Assistant Attorney General

Texas Bar No. 17973400

linda.secord@texasattorneygeneral.gov

Southern District Bar No. 1850549

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION

P.O. Box 12548, MC-066

Austin, Texas 78711-2548

Tel. (512) 463-2012

Fax. (512) 320-0911

Attorneys for the State of Texas

JEFF LANDRY

Attorney General of Louisiana

WILBUR L. "BILL" STILES

First Assistant Attorney General

/s/ Steven B. "Beaux" Jones

STEVEN B. "BEAUX" JONES

La. Bar Roll No. 33915

Southern District ID No. 1850546

DUNCAN S. KEMP, IV

La. Bar Roll No. 34172

Southern District Application Pending

Assistant Attorneys General

Environmental Section

Office of the Louisiana Attorney General

1885 N. Third Street

Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70802

Phone: (225) 326-6085

Fax: (225) 326-6099

Email: Jonesst@ag.state.la.us

Attorneys for the State of Louisiana

JIM HOOD

Attorney General of the State of

Mississippi

/s/ Mary Jo Woods

MARY JO WOODS

Special Assistant Attorney General

Miss. Bar No. 10468

Mississippi Attorney General's Office

Post Office Box 220

Jackson, Mississippi 39205

Phone: (601) 359-3020

Facsimile: (601) 359-2003

Email: mwood@ago.state.ms.us

Attorneys for the State of Mississippi

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

In accordance with Court Procedure 6.A.1, counsel for the States conferred with opposing counsel and determined that this Motion is opposed.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on February 12, 2016, a copy of the foregoing Motion for Preliminary Injunction was served electronically through the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas's CM/ECF system on all registered counsel.

/s/ Linda B. Secord