	Case 4:22-cv-06001-JST Do	ocument 28	Filed 08/02/23	Page 1 of 9		
1	TODD KIM					
2	Assistant Attorney General ALEXANDER M. PURPURO (Florida	a Bar No. 102	25872)			
3	Alexander.Purpuro@usdoj.gov Environmental Defense Section					
4	Environment & Natural Resources Div	ision				
5	United States Department of Justice 4 Constitution Square					
6	150 M Street, NE Suite 4.138					
7	Washington, D. C. 20002					
8	Telephone: (202) 514-9771					
9	Attorneys for Defendants					
10	SYLVIA SHIH-YAU WU (S.B.N. 273	549)				
11	Center for Food Safety 303 Sacramento Street, 2nd Floor					
12	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 826-2770					
13						
14	Attorney for Plaintiffs					
15	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
16	OAKI	LAND DIVIS	SION			
17						
18	CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY, et al	<i>l</i> .,				
19	Plaintiffs,					
20 21	v.	Case	e No. 4:22-cv-600	1-JST		
21	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENT	AL <b>[PR</b>	OPOSED] CONS	SENT DECREE		
22	PROTECTION AGENCY, et al.					
23	Defendant.					
25						
26						
27						
28						
				<del>de la consent Decree</del> E No. 4:22-cv-6001-JST		
				1		

WHEREAS, on October 12, 2022, Plaintiffs Center for Food Safety, Californians for Pesticide Reform, Center for Environmental Health, and Pesticide Action Network North America (collectively, the "Plaintiffs") filed this lawsuit, Case No. 4:22-cv-6001-JST, against the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and Michael Regan, in his official capacity as Administrator of the EPA (collectively, the "Defendants"), pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), 5 U.S.C. §§ 702 and 706(1);

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs allege that EPA has unreasonably delayed responding to a petition, submitted to EPA on or around July 10, 2017, Docket ID EPA-HQ-OPP-2018-0262 (hereinafter, this petition will be referred to as the "2017 Petition"), requesting that EPA (1) revise pesticide registration regulations to take into account all pesticide ingredients (active, inert and adjuvant) and their effects on the environment; (2) revise pesticide registration regulations to require whole pesticide formulation and tank mixture testing to take into account synergistic effects; (3) revise pesticide registration regulations to require inert ingredients and whole pesticide formulations testing for chronic toxicological effects and degradation; (4) revise pesticide registration regulations to require Endangered Species Act (ESA) consultation on the effects of whole pesticide formulations and tank mixtures on threatened and endangered species; and (5) assuming the regulations were revised as petitioners have requested, petitioners also request that EPA apply those revised regulations in conducting statutorily-mandated registration reviews of pesticides (hereinafter, these requests will be referred to as the "2017 Petition Requests");

WHEREAS, in December of 2018, EPA sought public comment on the 2017 Petition, *see* Petition Seeking Revised Testing Requirements of Pesticides Prior to Registration; Request for Comment, 83 Fed. Reg. 65672 (Dec. 21, 2018) (the "Request for Comment");

WHEREAS, in response to the Request for Comment, EPA received
approximately 564 public comments.

1

1 WHEREAS, pursuant to the APA, each federal agency has a duty "to conclude a 2 matter presented to it" "within a reasonable time," 5 U.S.C. § 555(b), and a "reviewing 3 court shall . . . compel agency action" that has been "unreasonably delayed," *id.* § 706(1); 4 WHEREAS, EPA has yet to respond to the 2017 Petition; 5 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs seek, *inter alia*, an order from this Court to establish a date certain by which EPA will respond to the 2017 Petition; 6 7 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants have agreed to a settlement of this action 8 without admission of any issue of fact or law, except as expressly provided herein; 9 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants, by entering into this Consent Decree, do 10 not waive or limit any claim, remedy, or defense, on any grounds, related to any final EPA action; 11 12 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants consider this Consent Decree to be an 13 adequate and equitable resolution of the claims in this case; 14 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants agree that resolution of this lawsuit 15 without further litigation is in the best interests of the parties, the public, and judicial 16 economy; 17 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants agree that this Court has subject matter 18 jurisdiction over the matters resolved in this Consent Decree pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 19 1331 and 5 U.S.C. § 702, sufficient for the Court to enter this Consent Decree; 20 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants agree that venue is proper in the Northern 21 District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) and Civil L.R. 3-2(c); and 22 WHEREAS, the Court, by entering this Consent Decree, finds that the Consent 23 Decree is fair, reasonable, in the public interest, and consistent with the APA; 24 NOW THEREFORE, before the taking of testimony, without trial or 25 determination of any issues of fact or law, and upon the consent of Plaintiffs and 26 Defendants, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED as follows: 27 28

 No later than September 29, 2023, the appropriate EPA official shall, by letter, either grant, deny, or grant in part and deny in part each of the 2017 Petition Requests.

2. After EPA has granted, denied, or granted in part and denied in part the 2017 Petition Requests, and after any claim for costs of litigation (including reasonable attorneys' fees) is resolved, Defendants may move to have this Consent Decree terminated. Plaintiffs shall have 14 days to respond to such motion. The basis of Plaintiffs' opposition to such motion shall be limited to whether EPA has failed to perform or failed to completely perform the actions required by this Consent Decree.

3. Any provision of this Consent Decree—including any deadline in the Consent Decree—may be modified by (a) written stipulation of Plaintiffs and Defendants filed with the Court, or (b) by the Court upon motion by any party for good cause shown pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and upon consideration of any response by the non-moving party and any reply. Before filing any motion under subsection (b) of this paragraph, the moving party shall follow the dispute resolution process set forth in paragraph 6 below.

4. If a lapse in EPA appropriations occurs within 120 days prior to the deadline in Paragraph 1 of this Consent Decree, such deadline shall be extended automatically by one day for each day of the lapse in appropriations. Nothing in this Paragraph shall preclude EPA from seeking an additional extension of time through modification of this Consent Decree pursuant to Paragraph 3.

5. Plaintiffs and Defendants agree that this Consent Decree constitutes a complete settlement of all claims in this case, Case No. 4:22-cv-6001-JST, with the exception of any claim Plaintiffs may assert as to the costs of litigation, as provided for in Paragraph 8.

6. In the event of a dispute between Plaintiffs and Defendants concerning the interpretation or implementation of any aspect of this Consent Decree, the disputing party shall provide the other party with a written notice, via electronic mail, outlining

## Case 4:22-cv-06001-JST Document 28 Filed 08/02/23 Page 5 of 9

the nature of the dispute and requesting informal negotiations. The parties shall meet and confer in an attempt to resolve the dispute. If these parties are unable to resolve the dispute within 10 business days after receipt of the notice, either party may petition the Court to resolve the dispute.

7. No motion or other proceeding seeking to enforce this Consent Decree or for contempt of Court shall be properly filed unless the procedures set forth in Paragraph 6 have been followed, and the moving party has provided the other party with written notice received at least 10 business days before the filing of such motion or proceeding.

8. Plaintiffs reserve the right to seek, subsequent to entry of this Consent Decree, the costs of litigation (including reasonable attorneys' fees) incurred in the above-captioned matter. Defendants reserve the right to oppose any such request for costs of litigation (including attorneys' fees).

9. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to enforce the terms of this Consent Decree and to resolve any requests for costs of litigation (including reasonable attorneys' fees).

10. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to limit or modify any discretion afforded to EPA by law in taking the actions that are the subject of this Consent Decree, including the discretion to alter, amend, or revise any final actions taken pursuant to this Consent Decree consistent with governing law. EPA's obligation to perform each action specified in this Consent Decree does not constitute a limitation or modification of EPA's discretion within the meaning of this Paragraph.

11. Except as expressly provided herein, nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as an admission of any issue of fact or law, nor to waive or limit any claim, remedy, or defense, on any grounds, related to any final action EPA takes with respect to the actions addressed in this Consent Decree.

12. It is hereby expressly understood and agreed that this Consent Decree was jointly drafted by Plaintiffs and Defendants. Accordingly, the parties hereby agree

## Case 4:22-cv-06001-JST Document 28 Filed 08/02/23 Page 6 of 9

that all rules of construction providing that ambiguity is construed against the drafting 2 party shall be inapplicable in any dispute concerning the terms, meaning, or 3 interpretation of this Consent Decree. 13. Any notice required or provided for by this Consent Decree shall be in 4 5 writing, sent via electronic mail, and sent to the following or to any new address of 6 counsel as filed and listed in the docket of the above-captioned matter, at a future date:

For Plaintiffs:

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Sylvia Shih-Yau Wu Center for Food Safety 303 Sacramento Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 826-2770 swu@centerforfoodsafety.org

For Defendants: Alexander M. Purpuro **Environment & Natural Resources Division** United States Department of Justice **4** Constitution Square

- 150 M Street, NE Suite 4.138
- Washington, D. C. 20002 Telephone: (202) 514-9771

14. Plaintiffs and Defendants recognize and acknowledge that the obligations imposed upon EPA under this Consent Decree can only be undertaken using appropriated funds legally available for such purpose. No provision of this Consent Decree shall be interpreted as or constitute a commitment or requirement that the United States obligate or pay funds in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341, or any other applicable provision of law.

15. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of either party and the terms of the proposed Consent Decree may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the parties.

## Case 4:22-cv-06001-JST Document 28 Filed 08/02/23 Page 7 of 9

The undersigned representatives of Plaintiffs and Defendants certify that they are fully authorized by the party they represent to consent to the Court's entry of the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the August 15, 2023 case management conference is vacated, and the Clerk shall close close the file. August\_\_\_, 2023. SO ORDERED on this <u>2nd</u> day of \_\_\_\_ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 

	Case 4:22-cv-06001-JST Document 28 Filed 08/02/23 Page 8 of 9
1	
2	/s Sylvia Shih-Yau Wu (Email auth.
3	7/31/2023) SYLVIA SHIH-YAU WU (S.B.N. 273549)
4	Center for Food Safety
5	303 Sacramento Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111
6	Telephone: (415) 826-2770 swu@centerforfoodsafety.org
7	
8	Attorney for Plaintiffs
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25 26	
20	
27	
20	
	[Proposed] Consent Decree Case No. 4:22-cv-6001-JST
	8

	Case 4:22-cv-06001-JST Document 28 Filed 08/02/23 Page 9 of 9					
1 2 3 4 5 6	TODD KIM Assistant Attorney General / <u>s Alexander M. Purpuro</u> ALEXANDER M. PURPURO (Florida Bar No. 1025872) U.S. Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Division Environmental Defense Section 4 Constitution Square					
7	150 M Street, NE Suite 4.138					
8 9	Washington, D.C. 20002 Alexander.Purpuro@usdoj.gov					
9 10	Telephone (202) 514-9771 Facsimile (202) 514-8865					
11						
12	Attorneys for Defendant					
13	Of Counsel:					
14	Donald Sadowsky Allison Payne Office of the General Counsel					
15						
16	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC					
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22 23						
23 24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	[Proposed] Consent Decree Case No. 4:22-cv-6001-JST 9					