1 **ROB BONTA** Exempt from filing fee per Attorney General of California Gov. Code, § 6103 2 R. MATTHEW WISE Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 NATASHA SAGGAR SHETH Deputy Attorney General FILED / ENDORSED 4 State Bar No. 282896 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 5 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 JUN 16 2023 Telephone: (415) 510-3818 Fax: (415) 703-5480 6 E-mail: Natasha.Sheth@doj.ca.gov 7 Attorneys for Respondents and Defendants Karen By K. Swift, Deputy Clerk Ross, in her official capacity as Secretary of the 8 California Department of Food and Agriculture, Dr. Tomás J. Aragón, in his official capacity as Director 9 of the California Department of Public Health, and Rob Bonta, in his official capacity as Attorney 10 General of California 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO 13 14 Case No. 34-2021-80003765 CALIFORNIA HISPANIC CHAMBERS 15 OF COMMERCE; KRUSE & SON, INC.; **CALIFORNIA GROCERS** JOINT STIPULATION OF ALL 16 ASSOCIATION; CALIFORNIA PARTIES REQUESTING FURTHER RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION; and LIMITED MODIFICATION OF 17 **FEBRUARY 2, 2022 JUDGMENT AND CALIFORNIA RETAILERS** ASSOCIATION, WRIT OF MANDATE 18 Petitioners and Plaintiffs, 19 Dept: The Honorable James Arguelles Judge: 20 Action Filed: November 10, 2021 21 KAREN ROSS, in her official capacity as Secretary of the California Department of 22 Food and Agriculture; TOMÁS J. ARAGÓN, in his official capacity as 23 Director of the California Department of Public Health; ROB BONTA, in his official 24 capacity as Attorney General of the State of California; and ANNE MARIE 25 SCHUBERT, in her official capacity as the District Attorney of the County of 26 Sacramento, 27 Respondents and Defendants. 28

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

#### **JOINT STIPULATION OF ALL PARTIES**

Petitioners and Plaintiffs California Hispanic Chambers of Commerce, Kruse & Son, Inc., California Grocers Association, California Restaurant Association, and California Retailers Association, and Respondents and Defendants Karen Ross, in her official capacity as the Secretary of the California Department of Food and Agriculture, Tomás J. Aragón, in his official capacity as the Director of the California Department of Public Health, Rob Bonta, in his official capacity as the Attorney General of the State of California, and Anne Marie Schubert, in her official capacity as the District Attorney of the County of Sacramento, respectfully submit this Joint Stipulation of All Parties requesting that the February 2, 2022 Judgment and the accompanying Prohibitory Writ of Mandate, as modified by the Court's November 28, 2022 Order, be further modified in a limited capacity with respect to noncompliant whole pork meat in the possession of specified entities as of July 1, 2023, when the existing injunction against enforcement is set to expire.

As grounds for this Stipulation, the Parties state as follows:

- 1. Proposition 12 is a statewide statutory initiative measure that establishes standards of confinement for certain farm animals, including breeding pigs. (See Health & Saf. Code, §§ 25990 et seq.) Under the measure, the State was required to adopt implementing regulations by September 1, 2019, and the provisions relating to the confinement of breeding pigs were scheduled to go into effect on January 1, 2022. (Id., §§ 25991(e)(3), 25993(a).)
- 2. In late 2021, shortly before the statute's provisions relating to the confinement of breeding pigs were scheduled to go into effect, the State had not yet adopted implementing regulations. For that reason, Petitioners filed this action seeking a temporary delay of the enforcement of Proposition 12's provisions relating to the sale of whole pork meat in California.
- 3. After briefing and a hearing on January 21, 2022, the Court granted, in part, Petitioners' request for a prohibitory writ of mandate and declaratory relief, entered a Judgment on February 2, 2022, and issued a Prohibitory Writ of Mandate on February 24, 2022. Specifically, the Court "enter[ed] a declaration that the prohibition on intrastate sales of whole pork meat (whether originating within or outside California) pursuant to Health and Safety Code

Sections 25990(b)(2) and 25991(e)(3) is not enforceable until 180 days after final regulations are enacted pursuant to Section 25993(a)" and further "enjoin[ed] Attorney General Bonta, District Attorney S[c]hubert, district attorneys statewide and city attorneys statewide from enforcing the prohibition on intrastate sales of whole pork meat (whether originating within or outside California) pursuant to Health and Safety Code Sections 25990(b)(2) and 25991(e)(3) until 180 days after final regulations are enacted pursuant to Section 25993(a)." The Court "denie[d Petitioners'] request for a writ enjoining the same public prosecutors for a longer period of time[.]" (Judgment, ¶ 1; Prohibitory Writ of Mandate at 2.)

- 4. In its Judgment, the Court expressly retained jurisdiction over this matter "to modify the relief granted in light of changing circumstances." (Judgment, ¶ 2.) Correspondingly, the Court included in its Order a provision permitting the parties to return to the Court "for any appropriate adjustment" to the 180-day period. (Feb. 2, 2022 Amended Final Order at 10.)
- 5. After this Court ruled, the United States Supreme Court granted certiorari in *National Pork Producers Council v. Ross* (March 28, 2022) 142 S. Ct. 1413, to determine whether petitioners National Pork Producers Council and American Farm Bureau Federation stated a claim that Proposition 12 violates the dormant Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution.
- 6. The State adopted final regulations implementing Proposition 12 on September 1, 2022. (See Cal. Code Regs., tit. 3, §§ 1320-1327.3.) Those regulations took immediate effect, thereby triggering the start of the remaining 180 days of the injunction against enforcement that the Court granted in its Judgment and the Prohibitory Writ of Mandate. Accordingly, the injunction against enforcement was due to expire on February 28, 2023.
- 7. Whereas the injunction against enforcement was due to expire on February 28, 2023, and whereas *National Pork Producers Council v. Ross* was still pending before the U.S. Supreme Court and could have resulted in a decision that would generate controlling law that could have impacted Proposition 12's implementation and enforcement, the parties stipulated to an extension of the Court's initial injunction, from February 28, 2023 to July 1, 2023. The Court entered an order reflecting the parties' stipulation on November 28, 2022, and continued to retain

jurisdiction over this matter to further modify the relief granted in light of changing circumstances.

- 8. The State has appealed the Court's decision in this matter, filing a Notice of Appeal on February 18, 2022, and initiating Case No. C095799 in the Third District Court of Appeal. However, on November 7, 2022, prior to filing any briefing on the merits, the State filed a request with the Court of Appeal asking that the appeal in this case be held in abeyance, which the Petitioners did not oppose. The Court of Appeal granted the State's request, in part, staying briefing in the matter until February 28, 2023, with a status update due to the Court by February 1, 2023.
- 9. The State requested, and was granted, two additional stays in its pending appeal in the Third District Court of Appeal while *National Pork Producers Council v. Ross* was pending in the U.S. Supreme Court. At present, a status update is due to the Court on June 15, 2023, and briefing is due on July 3, 2023.
- 10. On May 11, 2023, the U.S. Supreme Court issued its decision in *National Pork Producers Council v. Ross*, upholding the constitutionality of Proposition 12.
- 11. The declaratory and injunctive relief against enforcement of the prohibition on intrastate sales of whole pork meat pursuant to Health and Safety Code Sections 25990(b)(2) and 25991(e)(3), as imposed by the Court in its February 2, 2022, Judgment and Prohibitory Writ of Mandate, and as modified by the Court's November 28, 2022 Order, is set to expire on July 1, 2023.
- 12. Following the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in National Pork Producers Council v. Ross, the California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA)'s Animal Care Program issued a guidance document entitled: Guidance: Questions and Answers Related to Pork Sales in the Wake of the 2023 Supreme Court Decision. In that document, CDFA explained that, with respect to noncompliant whole pork meat in inventory that was purchased prior to July 1, 2023, it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Available at: < https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/AHFSS/AnimalCare/docs/sales wakeofsupremecourt\_decision.pdf > (as of June 13, 2023).

did not intend to focus its limited implementation resources in the remainder of 2023 on covered products already in commerce:

# What do I do with noncompliant whole pork meat in inventory that was purchased prior to July 1, 2023?

We recognize that current inventory is transient and as purchases of compliant products begin to be made after July 1, 2023, pork products in current stocks will eventually be cleared from freezers and retail stores in California. CDFA understands that there will necessarily be a period of transition. CDFA reiterates that for the remainder of 2023, we intend to focus our limited implementation resources, not on covered products already in commerce, but rather on 1) outreach to ensure that all distributors who are required to register do so; 2) accreditation of third-party certifying agents so that when third-party certification is required for producers and distributor registrations beginning on January 1, 2024, producers and distributors have more options; and 3) certification of producers and distributors.

In accordance with CDFA's guidance, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The declaratory and injunctive relief imposed by the Court in its February 2, 2022 Judgment and Prohibitory Writ of Mandate, as modified by the Court's November 28, 2022 Order, will expire on July 1, 2023, except with respect to noncompliant whole pork meat that:
  - a. as of July 1, 2023, is in the possession of an "end user" (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 3, § 1322, subd. (o)) or a "pork distributor" (id., subd. (t)) or on the premises of an establishment at which mandatory inspection is provided under the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. Sec. 601 et seq.) and that holds an establishment number (prefix "M") granted by the Food Safety Inspection Service of the United States Department of Agriculture ("federally-inspected entity");
  - is self-certified by the end user, pork distributor, or other federally-inspected
    entity to have been in their possession or was in the possession of another end
    user, pork distributor, or other federally-inspected entity as of July 1, 2023; and
  - is ultimately sold, transferred, exported, or donated on or before December 31,
     2023.
- 2. The stipulated injunction shall terminate, and the case shall be dismissed, with prejudice, at 11:59 p.m. on December 31, 2023, without further order of the court.

1	3. Plaintiffs affirmatively waive any right to seek further relief against enforcement of	
2	Proposition 12 in this case.	
3	Dated: June <u>15</u> , 2023	Respectfully Submitted,
4 5		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California R. MATTHEW WISE
6		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
7		ALD I
8		By: Natasha Saggar Sheth
9		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for State Respondents and Defendants
11		
12	Dated: June <u>15</u> , 2023	OLSON REMCHO, LLP
13		By:
14		Thomas A. Willis Attorneys for Petitioners and Plaintiffs
15		
16 17	Dated: June, 2023	OFFICE OF THE SACRAMENTO COUNTY COUNSEL
18		By:
19		Krista Whitman Assistant County Counsel
20		Attorneys for Respondent and Defendant Anne Marie Schubert
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	3. Plaintiffs affirmatively waive any right to seek further relief against enforcement of	
2	Proposition 12 in this case.	
3	Dated: June <u>15</u> , 2023	Respectfully Submitted,
4 5		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California R. MATTHEW WISE Supervising Deputy Attorney General
6		Supervising Beputy Fillotties General
7 8 9		By:  Natasha Saggar Sheth Deputy Attorney General  Attorneys for State Respondents and Defendants
10		
11 12	Dated: June, 2023	OLSON REMCHO, LLP
13 14		By: Thomas A. Willis Attorneys for Petitioners and Plaintiffs
15		morneys for 1 emoners and 1 tamiggs
16 17	Dated: June <u>16</u> , 2023	OFFICE OF THE SACRAMENTO COUNTY COUNSEL
18		By June R. Powells-Mays for Krista Whitman
19 20		Assistant County Counsel  Attorneys for Respondent and Defendant Anne Marie Schubert
21		Anne Murie Schuberi
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	, <u>a</u>	
27		
28		

### [PROPOSED] ORDER

Based on the foregoing, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, in accordance with the parties' stipulation set forth above:

- The declaratory and injunctive relief imposed by the Court in its February 2, 2022
   Judgment and Prohibitory Writ of Mandate, as modified by the Court's November
   28, 2022 Order, will expire on July 1, 2023, except with respect to noncompliant whole pork meat that:
  - as of July 1, 2023, is in the possession of an "end user" (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 3, § 1322, subd. (o)) or a "pork distributor" (id., subd. (t)) or on the premises of an establishment at which mandatory inspection is provided under the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. Sec. 601 et seq.) and that holds an establishment number (prefix "M") granted by the Food Safety Inspection Service of the United States Department of Agriculture ("federally-inspected entity");
  - is self-certified by the end user, pork distributor, or other federally-inspected
    entity to have been in their possession or was in the possession of another end
    user, pork distributor, or other federally-inspected entity as of July 1, 2023; and
  - is ultimately sold, transferred, exported, or donated on or before December 31,
     2023.
- 2. The stipulated injunction shall terminate, and the case shall be dismissed, with prejudice, at 11:59 p.m. on December 31, 2023, without further order of the court.
- 3. Plaintiffs affirmatively waive any right to seek further relief against enforcement of Proposition 12 in this case.

DATED: 16 WYSS



THE ION: JAMES P. ARGUELLES
JUDGA OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

## **DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY E-MAIL**

Case Name:

California Hispanic Chambers of Commerce, et al. v. Karen Ross, et al.

Case No.:

34-2021-80003765

#### I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter.

On June 16, 2023, I served the attached JOINT STIPULATION OF ALL PARTIES REQUESTING FURTHER LIMITED MODIFICATION OF FEBRUARY 2, 2022 JUDGMENT AND WRIT OF MANDATE by transmitting a true copy via electronic mail. In addition, I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, in the internal mail system of the Office of the Attorney General, addressed as follows:

Thomas A. Willis, Esq. Karen Getman, Esq. Aaron D. Silva, Esq. Deborah B. Caplan, Esq. OLSON REMCHO, LLP

Email: twillis@olsonremcho.com kgetman@olsonremcho.com asilva@olsonremcho.com dcaplan@olsonremcho.com Krista Whitman
June Powells-Mays
Office of Sacramento County Counsel
Email: whitmank@saccountv.net
 maysj@saccounty.gov

Attorneys for Respondent Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney of the County of Sacramento

M. Mendida.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 16, 2023, at San Francisco, California.

M. Mendiola

Declarant

SA2021305788 43761051.docx