United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 20-1161

September Term, 2020

FERC-CP17-494-001 FERC-CP17-495-001

Filed On: December 18, 2020

Deborah Evans, et al.,

Petitioners

٧.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,

Respondent

Jordan Cove Energy Project L.P. and Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline, LP, Intervenors

Consolidated with 20-1171, 20-1172, 20-1180, 20-1198

> BEFORE: Henderson, Rogers, and Katsas, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the joint briefing proposal, it is

ORDERED that the following format and schedule will apply in these consolidated cases:

Petitioners' Opening Briefs (not to exceed 23,850 words in the aggregate, divided among no more than three briefs as petitioners see fit)

January 22, 2021

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 20-1161

September Term, 2020

Respondent's Brief April 22, 2021

(not to exceed 23,850 words)

Respondent-Intervenors' Brief May 6, 2021

(not to exceed 16,695 words)

Petitioners' Reply Briefs June 15, 2021

(not to exceed 11,925 words in the aggregate, divided among no more than three briefs as petitioners see fit)

Deferred Appendix June 29, 2021

Final Briefs July 6, 2021

The parties will be informed later of the date of oral argument and the composition of the merits panel.

All issues and arguments must be raised by petitioners in the opening briefs. The court ordinarily will not consider issues and arguments raised for the first time in the reply briefs.

The court reminds the parties that

in cases involving direct review in this court of administrative actions, the brief of the appellant or petitioner must set forth the basis for the claim of standing. . . . When the appellant's or petitioner's standing is not apparent from the administrative record, the brief must include arguments and evidence establishing the claim of standing.

See D.C. Cir. Rule 28(a)(7).

To enhance the clarity of their briefs, the parties are urged to limit the use of abbreviations, including acronyms. While acronyms may be used for entities and statutes with widely recognized initials, briefs should not contain acronyms that are not widely known. See D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Procedures 42 (2020); Notice Regarding Use of Acronyms (D.C. Cir. Jan. 26, 2010).

Parties are strongly encouraged to hand deliver the paper copies of their briefs to the Clerk's office on the date due. Filing by mail may delay the processing of the brief. Additionally, counsel are reminded that if filing by mail, they must use a class of mail

United States Court of Appeals For The District of Columbia Circuit

No. 20-1161

September Term, 2020

that is at least as expeditious as first-class mail. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 25(a). All briefs and appendices must contain the date that the case is scheduled for oral argument at the top of the cover. <u>See</u> D.C. Cir. Rule 28(a)(8).

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT: Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Manuel J. Castro Deputy Clerk

United States Court of Appeals

District of Columbia Circuit Washington, D.C. 20001-2866

Mark J. Langer Clerk

(202) 216-7300

NOTICE TO COUNSEL:

SCHEDULING ORAL ARGUMENT

The court has entered an order setting a briefing schedule in a case in which you are counsel of record. Once a briefing order has been entered, the case may be set for oral argument.

You will be notified by separate order of the date and time of oral argument. Once a case has been calendared, the Clerk's Office cannot change the argument date, and ordinarily the court will not reschedule it. Any request to reschedule must be made by motion, which will be presented to a panel of the court for disposition. The court disfavors motions to postpone oral argument and will grant such a motion only upon a showing of "extraordinary cause." See D.C. Cir. Rule 34(g).

If you are the arguing counsel, and you will be unavailable to appear for oral argument on a date in the future, so advise the Clerk's Office by letter, filed electronically. The notification should be filed as soon as possible and updated if a potential scheduling conflict arises later, or if there is any change in availability. To the extent possible, the Clerk's Office will endeavor to schedule oral argument to avoid conflicts that have been brought to the court's attention in advance. See D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures at IX.A.1, XI.A.

Counsel must notify the court when serious settlement negotiations are underway, when settlement of the case becomes likely, and when settlement is reached. Such notice allows for more efficient allocation of judicial resources. Additionally, counsel should promptly notify the court if settlement negotiations are terminated. Notice must be given in an appropriate motion or by letter to the Clerk at the earliest possible moment. See, e.g., D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures at X.D., XI.A.